

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

ADRIANUS ALKEMADE and
RACHELLE ALKEMADE,

O R D E R
No. 6:12-cv-844-TC

Plaintiffs,

vs.

QUANTA INDEMNITY CO., a
Colorado domiciled insurance
company, and GENERAL FIDELITY
INSURANCE CO., a South Carolina
domiciled insurance company,

Defendants.

AIKEN, Chief Judge:

Magistrate Judge Coffin filed his Findings and
Recommendation on January 8, 2013. The matter is now before me
pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).
When a party objects to any portion of the Magistrate's

Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the Magistrate's report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

Defendants have separately filed timely objections (#50 and #51), plaintiffs filed an Combined Opposition to those Objections, and defendants declined to file any reply briefs. I have, therefore, given the file of this case a de novo review. I ADOPT the Magistrate's Findings and Recommendation (doc. 46) as follows: the cross-motion for summary judgment (#31), motion for summary judgment (#22), Motion pursuant to Rule 56(d) to Preclude Summary Judgment (#39), and motion for partial summary judgment (#24) are denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 10 day of April 2013.



Ann Aiken
United States District Judge